EDPROFST214 - Assessment for Teaching and Learning

1.

Duchesne S, McMaugh A. Educational Psychology for Learning and Teaching. 5th edition. Cengage Learning; 2016.
https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/auckland/reader.action?docID=4770960&ppg=515

2.

Hill M, Thrupp M, ProQuest (Firm). The Professional Practice of Teaching in New Zealand. 6e ed. Cengage Learning; 2019.
https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/auckland/detail.action?docID=6135917

3.

Airasian PW, Russell MK. Classroom Assessment: Concepts and Applications. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2008.

4.

Miller M, Linn R, Gronlund N, Linn R. Measurement and Assessment in Teaching. 10th ed. Merrill/Pearson; 2009.

5.

Airasian P, Russell M. Classroom Assessment: Concepts and Applications. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2008.

6.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to reliability. 2005;(3).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-reliability

7.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to validity. 2005;(2).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-validity

8.

C. Garrison, M.Ehringhaus. Formative and Summative Assessments in the Classroom. Published 2007.
http://www.amle.org/BrowsebyTopic/WhatsNew/WNDet/TabId/270/ArtMID/888/ArticleID/286/Formative-and-Summative-Assessments-in-the-Classroom.aspx

9.

Harlen W. Assessment of Learning. SAGE; 2007.
http://sk.sagepub.com.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/books/assessment-of-learning/n5.xml#d59

10.

Joyce C. Which assessment tool? Set. 2006;(1).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/which-assessment-tool

11.

Darr, C. Getting our heads around percentiles. (set 2007: no. 1).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/getting-our-heads-around-percentiles

12.

Hipkins, R. Reflections on being "labelled" by National Standards. (set 2010: no. 3). http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/reflections-being-labelled-national-standards

13.

Pam Thomas, Mei Kuin Lai, Viviane Robinson, Justine Agbede, and Magdalene Pythian. Reporting to parents. (set 2003: no. 1). https://www.nzcer.org.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/reporting-parents

14.

Booth, B., Hill, M., & Dixon, H. The assessment-capable teacher: Are we all on the same page? (Assessment Matters 6: 2014). https://www.nzcer.org.nz/nzcerpress/assessment-matters/articles/assessment-capable-teacher-are-we-all-same-page

15.

C. Garrison, M.Ehringhaus. Formative and Summative Assessments in the Classroom. Published 2007. http://www.amle.org/BrowsebyTopic/WhatsNew/WNDet/TabId/270/ArtMID/888/ArticleID/286/Formative-and-Summative-Assessments-in-the-Classroom.aspx

16.

Broadfoot P, Assessment Reform Group. Assessment for Learning: 10 Principles : Research-Based Principles to Guide Classroom Practice. Assessment Reform Group; 2002. http://www.hkeaa.edu.hk/DocLibrary/SBA/HKDSE/Eng_DVD/doc/Afl_principles.pdf

17.

Cowie B, Bell B. A Model of Formative Assessment in Science Education. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 1999;6(1):101-116. doi:10.1080/09695949993026

18.

Absolum M. Clarity in the Classroom: Using Formative Assessment Building

Learning-Focused Relationships. Hodder Education; 2006.

19.

Dixon H, Hawe E, Parr J. Enacting Assessment for Learning: the beliefs practice nexus. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 2011;18(4):365-379. doi:10.1080/0969594X.2010.526587

20.

Davies A, Hill M. Making Classroom Assessment Work. New Zealand ed. NZCER Press; 2009.

21.

Hawe, E., Dixon, H., Watson, E. Oral feedback in the context of written language. Australian Journal of Language & Literacy. 2008;31(1):43-58. http://ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=aph&AN=28399416&site=ehost-live&scope=site

22.

Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick D. Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Studies in Higher Education. 2006;31(2):199-218. doi:10.1080/03075070600572090

23.

Sadler DR. Formative Assessment: revisiting the territory. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 1998;5(1):77-84. doi:10.1080/0969595980050104

24.

Florez, M, Sammons, P. Assessment for learning: Effects and impact. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED546817.pdf

25.

Knight, N. Teacher feedback to students in numeracy lessons: Are students getting good value? (set 2003: no. 3).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/teacher-feedback-students-numeracy-lessons-are-students-getting-good-value

26.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. The Power of Feedback. Review of Educational Research. 2007;77(1):81-112.
http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/stable/4624888?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

27.

Cowie B, Bell B. A Model of Formative Assessment in Science Education. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 1999;6(1):101-116. doi:10.1080/09695949993026

28.

Parr J, Timperley H. Teachers, schools and using evidence: Considerations of preparedness. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 2008;15(1):57-71. doi:10.1080/09695940701876151

29.

Timperley H, Parr J. Using Evidence in Teaching Practice: Implications for Professional Learning. 1st ed. Hodder Moa Beckett; 2004.

30.

Wright J, MacDonald D, Burrows L. Critical Inquiry and Problem-Solving in Physical Education. Routledge; 2004. https://www.tandfebooks.com/isbn/9781315016085

31.

Renshaw I, Araújo D, Button C, Chow JY, Davids K, Moy B. Why the Constraints-Led Approach is not Teaching Games for Understanding: a clarification. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy. 2016;21(5):459-480. doi:10.1080/17408989.2015.1095870

32.

New Zealand. Ministry of Education. Ministry of Education Position Paper: Assessment
(Schooling Sector) = Ko Te
Wha
-
rangi Takotoranga
A
-
runga,
A
-
 Te
Ta
-
huhu o Te
Ma
-
tauranga, Te Matekitenga. Ministry of Education; 2011.
http://assessment.tki.org.nz/Media/Files/Ministry-of-Education-Position-Paper-Assessment-S
chooling-Sector-2011

33.

New Zealand. Ministry of Education. The New Zealand Curriculum. Published for the
Ministry of Education by Learning Media; 2007.
http://nzcurriculum.tki.org.nz/content/download/866/6081/file/Curr%20-TEXT.pdf

34.

New Zealand. Ministry of Education, New Zealand. Ministry of Education. Group
Ma
-
ori. Ka Hikitia: Managing for Success : The
Ma
-
ori Education Strategy, 2008-2012. Group
Ma
-
ori, Ministry of Education; 2008.
http://ndhadeliver.natlib.govt.nz/content-aggregator/getIEs?system=ilsdb&id=128688
4

35.

Sadler DR. Formative Assessment: revisiting the territory. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 1998;5(1):77-84. doi:10.1080/0969595980050104

36.

Cowie B, Bell B. A Model of Formative Assessment in Science Education. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. 1999;6(1):101-116. doi:10.1080/09695949993026

37.

Ovens A, Garbett D, Heap R. Using assessment to enhance learning for the Net Generation. In: Koh C, ed. Motivation, Leadership and Curriculum Design: Engaging the Net Generation and 21st Century Learners. Springer; 2015. http://link.springer.com.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/chapter/10.1007/978-981-287-230-2_14

38.

Broadfoot P, Assessment Reform Group. Assessment for Learning: 10 Principles : Research-Based Principles to Guide Classroom Practice. Assessment Reform Group; 2002. http://www.hkeaa.edu.hk/DocLibrary/SBA/HKDSE/Eng_DVD/doc/Afl_principles.pdf

39.

Broadfoot P, Assessment Reform Group. Assessment for Learning: 10 Principles : Research-Based Principles to Guide Classroom Practice. Assessment Reform Group; 2002. http://www.hkeaa.edu.hk/DocLibrary/SBA/HKDSE/Eng_DVD/doc/Afl_principles.pdf

40.

Clarke S. Peer and self-assessment. In: Formative Assessment in the Secondary Classroom . Hodder & Stoughton; 2005.

41.

Clarke S. Creating a learning culture in which formative assessment can exist. In:

Formative Assessment in the Secondary Classroom. Hodder & Stoughton; 2005.

42.

Airasian PW, Russell MK. Appropriate assessments: Valid and reliable. In: Classroom Assessment: Concepts and Applications. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2008:15-20.
https://content.talisaspire.com/auckland/bundles/58583e294469ee3f2a8b457c

43.

Harlen W. Assessment of Learning. SAGE; 2007.
http://sk.sagepub.com.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/books/assessment-of-learning/n5.xml

44.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to validity. 2005;(2).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-validity

45.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to reliability. 2005;(3).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-reliability

46.

Airasian PW, Russell MK. Appropriate assessments: Valid and reliable. In: Classroom Assessment: Concepts and Applications. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2008:15-20.
https://content.talisaspire.com/auckland/bundles/58583e294469ee3f2a8b457c

47.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to validity. 2005;(2).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-validity

48.

Darr, C. A hitchhiker's guide to reliability. 2005;(3).
http://www.nzcer.org.nz.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/nzcerpress/set/articles/hitchhiker-s-guide-r
eliability

49.

Broadfoot P, Assessment Reform Group. Assessment for Learning: 10 Principles :
Research-Based Principles to Guide Classroom Practice. Assessment Reform Group; 2002.
http://www.hkeaa.edu.hk/DocLibrary/SBA/HKDSE/Eng_DVD/doc/Afl_principles.pdf

50.

Arndt S, Tesar M. Early childhood assessment in Aotearoa New Zealand: Critical
perspectives and fresh openings.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/294470824_Early_childhood_assessment_in_Aot
earoa_New_Zealand_Critical_perspectives_and_fresh_openings

51.

Dalli C, Doyle K. Eyes wide open: How teachers of infants and toddlers recognise learning.
Nga

 Tau Tuatahi The First Years: New Zealand Journal of Infant and Toddler Education.
2011;13(2).

52.

Carr M. Keeping it complex. In: Assessment in Early Childhood Education: Keeping It
Complex, Keeping It Connected, Keeping It Credible. Te Tari Puna Ora o Aotearoa, N.Z.
Childcare Association; 2004:5-15.

53.

Sandy Farquhar MT. A day in the life of a newly qualified infant/toddler teacher. The First
Years Journal. 2015;17(1).

54.

Keryn Davis, Carol Bird, Robyn O'Connor, Helen Rees, Stephanie Spencer, Vanessa Paki, and Sally Peters. Beyond the gate: A case study of dispositional learning from kindergarten to school. Early Childhood Folio. 2015;19(1). http://dx.doi.org.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/10.18296/ecf.0005

55.

Hedges, Helen; Jones, Sarah. Children's working theories: The neglected sibling of Te Whariki's learning outcomes. Early Childhood Folio. 16(1):34-39. http://search.informit.com.au.ezproxy.auckland.ac.nz/documentSummary;res=IELHSS;dn= 470751628072175

56.

Alcock S. Reconceptualising child observation: Prioritising subjectivity. The First Years Ngā Tau Tuatahi. 14(1):10-13.

57.

Carr M, Lee W. Learning Stories: Constructing Learner Identities in Early Education. SAGE; 2012. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/auckland/reader.action?docID=880780&ppg=7 9

58.

Maria Cooper, Helen Hedges, Lindy Ashurst, Bianca Harper, Daniel Lovatt, Trish Murphy, and Niky Spanhake. Transforming relationships and curriculum: Visiting family homes. The early childhood folio. 2014;18(1). https://www.nzcer.org.nz/nzcerpress/early-childhood-folio/articles/transforming-relationshi ps-and-curriculum-visiting-family